United States District Court

for the Western District of North Carolina United States of America v. Case No: 5:21-cr-24 Joseph E Stasney USM No: 16957-509 Date of Original Judgment: 12/02/2021 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: Chiege O. Kalu Okwara/ Joshua Carpenter (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of ⊠ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is: GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in ☐ DENIED. the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/02/2021 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: December 1, 2023 Kenneth D. Bell United States District Judge Effective Date: February 1, 2024 (if different from order date) Printed name and title

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Joseph E. Stass	nev					
CASE NUMBER: 5:21-cr-24	=					
DISTRICT: Western District of	- -					
I. COURT DETERMINATI	ON OF GUI	DELINE RANG	GE (Prior to Any Departures)			
Previous Total Offense Level:	22		Amended Total Offense Level:	20		
Criminal History Category:	I	=	Criminal History Category:	I		
Previous Guideline Range:	41 to	51 months	Amended Guideline Range:	33	to 41	months
	vithin the ame risonment imp sult of a subst ne amended gu	ended guideline i posed was less that tantial assistance uideline range.	range. nan the guideline range applicable departure or Rule 35 reduction,			
III. FACTORS CONSIDER States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (20) For the reasons outlined in the	018))	_	AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See	Chave	z-Meza v. U	I nited